

Reasonin: Revelazion fe da conditions and future fe Azania/Aethopia

Ras Yada [aka Ntokozo Mthembu] him reason ina wire-mail interview by Dan Roodt - <http://www.praag.org/interview1mthembu.htm>

1. I see that you use the name "Azania" for South Africa. So I gather that you reject the 1910 name that was given to this country by Botha, Smuts, Hertzog and others?

It is true that I reject the colonialist name South Africa, as it represents western glory of victory and steam rolling over indigenous populace ideals of this part of Africa in the arrogant and vicious manner. I argue that it is foolish for anyone who thinks that the naming spree of the white colonialist settlers in likes of Botha, Smuts, Hertzog which is still visible today is justifiable to exist forever. I'm saying this because it is a known fact that the murderers, thieves or the evil doers will not rule or sustain or hide the truth forever or cannot go away just like water fading in the sand. No ways! That cannot happen, no matter the number of generations after generations can be killed or tamed, threaten like in times of Botha and the crew. The name issue is not a negotiable like anything that belongs to Africans and you need not to be fooled by the concoction of negotiated political settlement at CODESA circus.

2. How do you feel about name changes in general, for example Potchefstroom, Pretoria, Jan Smuts airport that became Johannesburg International and now O.R. Tambo airport?

Firstly, when you whities named these African places on your names who did you consult and debate with except amongst you party of European gangs disguised in the name civilisation whilst it meant daylight robbery?

In addition to what I have said above, I will argue that the name change is not an issue that need to be negotiated. It is a known fact that names such Pretoria or names that refer to those settlers and its crime in terms of true humanity-ubuntu to continue calling these places in the name of the colonialists' settlers. I will argue that the government of the day is wasting time and resources in entertaining jokes by western clowners such as those one who refuse the name change programme. If anyone claims that it is justifiable to retain Botha's names, I will argue that s/he believe in the ideals of Blood River- where Africans were slaughtered in the name of white supremacy of which need to be dealt with accordingly in Africa and need to be encouraged to prosper in Europe.

In addition, it still shows that the government of the day is nothing more than one of those famous shows in town that normally those are usually seen to be and still entertain racist driven arguments that argue against or refuse the name change. We also see the inheritors of colonialist spoils have guts to claim to deserve some rights or privilege to sustain stolen property of the African people. So far the privilege that they still have for now is to hand over peacefully the stolen property and the wealth accumulated there from.

3. John Pilger, the Australian journalist, has just written a book called "Freedom Next Time" in which he says that "the African National Congress sold its soul to corporate bosses over glasses of single-malt whisky." According to Pilger then, the revolution never happened. We also know that individual blacks only own 2%

of the shares on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange. Would you agree then with Pilger's view that the white ruling class has retained control of assets?

Whilst I agree with Pilger but is worthy noting that this organisation did not start selling-out at CODESA but you need to remember the historical reasons led to emergence of the Pan Africanist Congress. In addition, I concur strongly with the views of Pilger in this respect, as that is seen by the new shopping centres that are mostly accessible to the rich white and few rich Africans. Even the 2% that is claimed to be owned by the so called "African tycoons" of which is doubtful because how can a poor unionist/ returned exile become the millionaire overnight? If indeed takes place, I will argue that there is something unusual happened on the normal processes of wealth accumulation.

One of the good example about the scrupulous processes where government ministers reach secret deal and end up in prison to secure the interests of the capitalist in the name of freedom. These types of ministers exposes the true character of the government in which they are serving, as it was meant to lure Black people in believing in the white liberal- Joe Slovo's Sunset Clause that guaranteed the land stolen to remain to the European thieves led by the Dutch and Britons. Just to mention the recent white activists without referring to the previous or earlier *abalengu abasithatndayo* the likes of Bishop John W. Colenso and his gang.

Furthermore, we need not to confuse the right of Black people of owning the wealth of their country with the opportunistic tendencies of individuals whose interests is to enrich themselves at the expense of the black majority and the advantage of the western world economic system. Whose interests are characterised by a corrupt deals that create assumptions that Africans own some wealth whist in fact they are just a front of the super corrupt rich white class. These are just individuals who are used in order to justify the political settlement that promotes white values and western ideology- democracy.

4. Could you elaborate on what you understand by "total liberation"?

Total liberation of African people will be characterised by the following: -

- Self determination of Africans as all others races aspire
- Control and benefit from their land – Africa from the south tip- Cape Town of the continent to top –Tunis or to the land of the Pharaohs and its resources
- Define their own political doctrine
- Control and in charge of the governmental organs such as administration, police, military and judicial system that reflect African ethos not the current joke.
- Economic system that is pro African needs not European export oriented or mass production system.
- Respect and promotion of world free from greed, hatred, racism, equality of the human race,
- Place where *ubuntu* principles are uphold and not mixed with the individualist oriented capitalism system.
- Nationhood the highest ideal of all peoples as Africans needs a nation and country of their own because that is the strongest security of any people and they must strive to attain that goal and that "African Republic" should be on the African soil and
- Remember no one will ever take away the spirit that has been part and parcel of the African people over the years of believing that AFRICA IS FOR AFRICANS, THOSE AT HOME AND ABROAD. This has been proven to

any sober person as Africans have skill fully demonstrated that no force can take away that despite the fact some of the leadership has bought to the idea. But what is interesting is that each generation has its rightful rulers that give a sober direction, as the African race is not in charge of their survival or destiny but its Creator or God for that matter. Don't forget that African people have a promise to fulfill of liberating Africa in all forms of oppression for many years to come any which way that is available in their disposal.

- Respect of nature-environment and creatures that survive thereto NO TO DOMESTICATION OF ANIMALS OF WHATEVER NATURE.

5. Let's now turn to the interesting issue you raised in your comment on Rasa FM. You described criminal acts as "incidences of resistance" by the poor. Would you therefore say that the much-publicised attacks on white suburbanites is part of some sort of guerilla war or resistance? AND 8. Would you describe farm attacks and farm murders as part of the popular resistance you are referring to?

Firstly, my comment on Rasa FM question the understanding of the notion of criminal acts as it tends to be bias and defined according to the interests of a particular race and in this case it's the interests of the white colonialist race. I argue that when we speak of crime in South Africa, we need not to forget the manner in which the vicious way in which the stealing of the African people took place of which is the criminal activity on its own. In addition, that criminal activity is still treated as if it never happened and continues to receive bias publicity as part of protecting the stolen land by whites.

The resistance of the fact that the stolen property of Africans by the white criminals using whatever trick that is available in the book even shooting and killing African people on the streets in the broad daylight- do you remember Strydom just to mention few criminals? Lastly, how would you describe the continuous telling lies that African land belongs to everybody and turning a blind eye on the issue of returning it to the rightful owners?

The root causes of crime have been described as an expression of lack, a lack of the "good life" currently enjoyed by whites in this country, a good life based on a historical act of crime and mass thievery. Now with that understanding, why do you insist pretending that this "crime" is a new thing? The best way to correct the situation is to correct the original Sin- White theft. For as long as the African remains precarious and wanting, in the continent of origin, for as long as the vast majority of those considered "criminals" remain poor and desperate sons and daughters of this stolen land, then the lines that define crime will remain intensely contested.

Lastly, if I new whether it was guerrilla war or resistance I would not writing articles asking whether is crime or surge in resistance but I would part of it parting in the war now the said part is that I don't know whether resistance. But what I can describe a conventional reasoning I can say definitely is not crime as criminals are still

wandering in the streets of South Africa not knowing whether the sun is rising or setting by virtue of the making noise of resisting the change that announce things to come in the future. This is seen by the acts of some of the organisation vandalising the statues of the gallant fighters of these might land- Africa and that cannot be tolerated at a long run. If these acts are not stopped soon, these are the recipe for disaster, we need not to forget how long Africans have be told to humble whilst continue to be oppressed and made a laughing stock. Only sober ones who ask themselves why Mandela just agree to that junk settlement and Africans just agree sheepishly?

6. We have recently seen an uprising in Orange Farm where both sides of the Golden Highway were barricaded by the poor with a large placard reading, "10 years of freedom without water, sewerage, electricity - South Africa f* democracy". Would you say that there is a tendency among the black poor to reject liberal democracy in favour of a more revolutionary system like socialism or even communism?**

You must understand that so far there has been no liberation in the true sense of the word especially to Africans but yes to the white populace as their stolen property continues to be protected through Slovos' Sunset Clause and police and militarily. We need to remember that this western liberal democracy that was negotiated was not part of the mandate that African majority gave to the political organisations that negotiated the political settlement. But it was a broad day robbery, as the old poor prisoner – Mandela had to be convinced to believe he had the authority to reach a deal behind prison bars. Again we need not to forget that Mandela is not the first African leader to be sent to the island as part of silencing his freedom, we need not to forget that the Zulu Kings and Royal House were imprisoned in famous St Helena Island and same Robben Island where Mandela was a prisoner. Remember that did not stop the following generation to pursue the liberation further, each generation sees fit and a responsibility to further the great march based on the material conditions that prevail then

Therefore, it will be stupidity for anyone to believe that African people would just accept that their land is justified to be taken by whites and these thieves to go away with the murder unquestionable. For your information, socialism nor communism is not equipped to total liberate the African race, as it is anti-christ in nature and Slovo good shining example. Do you remember Communist Party of South Africa early slogan- "white workers of the world unite to keep South Africa white" and that is why even today's communist party kept its promise.

7. You say that "it is criminal to continuously mislead Black people into accepting that the land is not theirs". How do you feel about land reform? What do you think should happen to white farmers?

As I have mentioned above that stolen land of Africans is not a negotiable issue but the inheritors of the stolen property should return the land unconditionally whilst we are still sharing ways of returning it instead of Zimbabwe experience. The land reforms are nothing more than dealing darling about the suffering of African people as they continue to be squashed and dying in the slave camps such as townships, rural areas and informal settlements. It will be foolish for anyone to think the majority of Africans can afford to buy the land if they wish to buy it since they have been selling their labour as cheap labour for more than 200 years. The current land reforms in South Africa are nothing more than just a fallacy to make African people to believe that land has been redistributed. On the humanly spirit the white farmers and those who occupied the stolen land need to hand over the land to the government or time will tell how long Africans will give an allowance to do so.

9. What do you think of Robert Mugabe's land reform policy?

Remember the saying that says "let what belong to Caesar be return to Caesar". So, Zimbabwe land reform policy is the sober policy that is meant for redressing the past injustices. In fact Zimbabwe land reforms have elements of humanity as it did not deal with the land repossession in the same spirit of those cruel, vicious, greedy and racist Cecil Rhodes and his party of gangs. So, Africa is for

Africans at home and in the diaspora and that is the standing rule, no matter whatever the case maybe, Africa or Azania will be liberated in all fronts when time is ripe.

You need to keep in mind that the land appropriations did not occur as a result of any State policy; rather the contrary is true Mugabe's State developed a land redistribution policy as a result of ordinary people cynically labelled "war veterans" in a situation where the whole African population was at war against colonial occupiers of Zimbabwe.

So too here in Azania, the whitely can think they have bought their insurance by fattening up five or six natives, the fact is the African as a people are not blind and they have began to rise, the Zuid Afrika/RSA state can choose to act similar to Mugabe or they may choose to defend the interests of their Baas- the people shall rise!

10. In your reply to another (white) poster on Raza FM you wrote: "Your time is coming..." and that "Azania, Zimbabwe and the white world will be liberated from all forms of oppression - mental, physical and otherwise beyond your Western brain-washing." Is this the second phase of the revolution that the ANC and SACP have always envisaged or an international revolution against all Western countries?

Truly, time is in fact on for those white colonialist settlers and blacks who think their misleading notions that entrenches white supremacy are permanent to see that are slowly and surely fading. We need not forget that now white or black person thought white person can be imprisoned and African people can naturally take repossess what is rightful theirs. We need not to forget that no big gun/s or weapons of mass destruction or mercenaries nor snipers nor murdering nor assassination can stop this last march for a total emancipation of the African race. For example, the times of slavery no one thought it will ever end and again remember the heydays of white colonialist the so called primitive accumulation and now Zimbabwe is the good example of good and unstoppable things to come.

Remember that no institution nor gang nor mafia can stop or control the anger of the dispossessed African majority when it erupts. For example, look at Zimbabwean government has been one of the agents of western economic interests that secured land to the minority colonialist white settlers. But when the anger of the indigenous populace rose, the Mugabe regime was forced to choose between to part of enriching and securing the interests of racist white 'Buckingham palace' or vanish in the memory archives or be part and parcel of setting the irreversible project that is declared to take more than 200 years. A word of good advice will be that all white thieves to hand over the spoils of colonialism unconditionally and soon whilst the moment of repossession still at reasonable rate.

11. Many Afrikaners believe that that they have been betrayed by FW de Klerk and Roelf Meyer into surrendering power and that there is an African-style "uhuru" coming in which large numbers of them will be killed or otherwise they will be kicked out of Azania by force. Are they justified in having these fears?

Any Dutch or British settlers that think de Klerk and his gangs betrayed them they will sick because they were supposed to be regarding this "*bhunu*" as their messiah as he managed to manipulate and market their own made leaders as they managed to convene the CODESA circus and endorse same white supremacy interests like Mugabe in the 1980s – Lancaster Agreement. Another interesting part is that circus that was characterised by the most famous opportunists ever produced by the white race civilisation. All settlers need not to be fearful but what they need to do is to hand over the land and all the resources that are justified to

be were stolen property. There will be no peace in South Africa, no matter whites can cry aloud as possible or organise expensive conferences or apologise in whatever manner or wash feet for many people as possible as today and every hour African people are subjected to fatal socio-political environment in their many thousands. Peace will realised the day the inheritors stop saying they were not there in times of accumulation whilst riding high on privileges or spoils of colonisation and handover peacefully.

12. Is there still a place for Afrikaners in Azania as you call it or do you think they should leave of their own free will while they still can?

In Africa everybody is welcome to live fairly and respect and acknowledge the Africans as the rightful owners of this mighty land unconditionally and also willing to stop breeding teachings of racism and lies of false claiming of African land as theirs and handover the vast hectares of stolen land. Those who steadfastly don't believe in handing over the wealth and the land voluntarily must start consider that option. For those who would are eager to vortrek or back trek to their homelands- Holland, I believe it will be a healthy exercise for them, as it is their homelands. There will be nothing wrong for those sober whites who would voluntarily handover and consider going to their homeland, as they will acknowledging the need of Africans to reorganise themselves not whites acting as advisers. Furthermore, there is still a need to repatriate the Africans in the diaspora in terms of the prophecy that will be fulfilled for some to come.

13. Do you feel that Afrikaans should still be an official language of Azania?

Afrikaans need to be taught in Holland where it belongs and those who are eager or passionate about its survival they are justified to do so as it their language not African

Language. Remember June 16 1976, blood was shed to resist that madness of Afrikaans as the language of education. But it is a known fact that white settlers enjoy shedding the blood of African people and fear, they will attempt to persue the failed project as the strategy of prompting the possibilities of shedding blood. All white in Africa must start learning one indigenous language soon as time is sweeping and more interesting moments ahead.

14. How would you describe your own ideas? As African nationalism, black consciousness, négritude, pan-Africanism or some other term?

I would describe my ideas as Ethiopianism because they refer to the fundamentals of Black world and the manner of defending itself and as well as the fulfilment according to time as it was prophesised and promised that will be fulfilled. It was promised that when the time is ripe, we will recognise by the fact that "great men and women of our times will be a disaster to their humankind and defended illusion". This is witnessed by the fact that whites have scrapped the apartheid policies automatically Africans will accept that everything is ok as they "enjoy" the smell of sharing the same toilet and continue to be servants and whites remain masters as possible. Ethiopianism is not something that one is inventing but it's the time that determines its proclamation. We need not to forget that history indicates that Africa was and is still a world of faith or in short a theocratic world. So, Africa reaches a point is slowly reverting forward to its state of perfection as it was and it is going to be as promised. This promise or fire is unstoppable no water can chill this fire not like the ancient Zulus whose fire was quenched with water, as they were fulfilling their work for the set time.

Lastly, Azania of which is the province of this mighty continent- Africa has been and is still a theocratic state that need to be liberated from western capitalist, democratic, barbaric and greedy system that breeds poverty, hatred, racism and disguises as civilisation. This proven by the fact Africa since the white laid his foot on the shores of the mighty continent it has become the cradle of poverty in the world. Whilst Europeans have become enriched many times than before they started colonising Africa and still continue looting wealth at a greater scale than before. No matter whatever the case maybe, the total liberation of Azania or Afrika is certain.

Underneath are the original comments on the RASA FM website that sparked the debate:

http://rasa.m2014.net/spip/article.php3?id_article=90

South Africa (Azania): In a state of crime or a surge in resistance?

Sunday 20th August 2006 posted by [motho](#)

By Ntokozo Mthembu 28 June 2006

Today the media and electronic publications tell us about the soaring crime rate and its negative effect on South Africa. But it is interesting that we hear little or nothing about the rationale behind these incidents of 'crime'; all we hear is that 'crime does not pay' and this and that. This situation raises a lot of questions about what is really facing this country, in view of the fact that it is a country still characterised by clear lines between the former (and still) oppressed and the former (and still) apartheid beneficiaries. I wonder whether these reports that crime is rising in this country are true. Perhaps the better question is whether these activities should actually be described as criminal; labelling these activities as 'crime' fails to acknowledge the fact that disadvantaged communities are sick and tired of being given false promises that things will be better.

In order to better understand exactly what is happening in South Africa, one must look to the historical background of this highly celebrated country. With this in mind, it becomes clearer that what is viewed or defined as crime by the capitalists or the rulers in a particular time or place cannot necessarily be regarded as such by the poor populace and visa versa. Writers like Schönteich et al (2001) urge us to note that crime cannot be understood as a problem of today, and must be understood within South Africa's history. It is argued that the issue of so-called crime began to be seen as a threat to apartheid capitalism in the mid-1980s and early 1990s. Some people will recall that this was a period of intensified struggle by the popular organisations resisting against the apartheid regime. This period was characterised by intense, violent crime perpetrated by the South African security forces against anybody who was identified as or associated with 'terrorists'.

Most of the oppressed majority hoped that this violent time would pass; they hoped that the country's majority who had been dispossessed of their land would get their land back. They hoped for more than the dangling carrot in the form of the so-called right to vote. The criminals who dispossessed the Black majority, on the other hand, hoped that the dispossessed people would just sheepishly accept the crumbs which fell from the capitalist masters' tables, without any form of resistance. These hopes were not realised after 1994 because levels of recorded 'crime' (what I will call 'incidences of resistance') have increased since then. I

would argue that if the so-called 'crime' has been increasing this only shows that the Black majority's aspirations were not met; it means that people are continuing with the resistance that was hijacked by the Black elite in conjunction with their buddies - 'amabhunu' - at the expense of the oppressed populace. In addition, if 'crime' started increasing in the 1980s, it shows that the goals of these actions were to unseat the apartheid regime and its economic system (goals which were not achieved). Instead of thrashing down apartheid, we saw the co-optation of a few Black elite into the ranks of capitalist apartheid.

On the other hand, the super-exploited majority remains in the same status as they were in the times of Botha and de Klerk; now under Mbeki they are still subjected to selling their labour power as the 'only' way of making a livelihood, but the labour market still sees very high rates of unemployment. In addition, the Black townships and city streets are still characterised by that famous dance, the toyi-toyi; the rich still lock themselves in behind high concrete walls. The majority remain beggars in the city streets - a sign of not yet uhuru, not yet liberation.

The poor black people remain landless despite their tireless struggles to liberate themselves from the vicious oppressive system; their elite leadership had a different view, as they saw the only way to fight colonisation as becoming part of it.

It seems that the leadership of the oppressed majority opted to follow the famous proverb that says 'if you cannot beat them, join them'. In other words, the leadership did not have a mandate that was reached at CODESA (the Convention for a Democratic South Africa); instead deals with 'amabhunu' were made that secured the stolen property in the hands of the thieves and ensured that the Black majority remained squashed in the labour reserves.

Incidences of 'crime' are viewed differently by the 'Rainbow Nation' community, as they have different interests in the survival of the 'New South Africa'; they are encouraged to see everything as as normal as possible, given their interests in the new dispensation. Again, I ask myself whether these incidences that are reported as crime are not in fact the continuation of popular resistance. Popular resistance under apartheid came in various forms, many of which are being repeated now; workers strike and attack what they see as part of the problem. Communities are up-in-arms in various parts of the country, demanding the same old demands such as access to basic services and land distribution. I know the schools of thought of resistance differ but, in reality, when did this thing of 'crime' start? In the 1980s, blacks increased attacks on whites in various forms that included making them feel uncomfortable in their stolen cocoons and that was regarded as 'crime'. But what about the crime committed by whites to the blacks, crimes that include colonisation that was characterised by extreme violence, at magnitudes unseen before. Furthermore, it is criminal to continuously mislead Black people into accepting that the land is not theirs.

In short, I am trying to highlight fundamental things which cannot be easily ignored by sober-minded people, especially when we aspire for a peaceful world, free from hatred in the form of racism, violence, crime and exploitation for profit. When we talk of the rise of crime in South Africa (Azania) what are we really saying? Are we judging 'crime' as threats against capitalists, whose profits and interests continue to grow? What is it that is happening in the land of the 'colonised and the coloniser'?

[Reply to this article](#)

Forum

- > South Africa (Azania): In a state of crime or a surge in resistance?

3rd August 2006, posted by [Scared](#)

You claim, to summarise, that the majority black people in SA today are still without farms (land) and in exactly the same position as they were before they started the struggle, right? You then justify (or excuse) crime as the continuation of popular resistance in order to achieve 'liberation' goals, right? Then you must also admit that the Apartheid word (theory behind it) and the "abolishment" thereof was and still is nothing but major political trickery (national and international propaganda), right? (...it tricked you, for one!). Now THAT is exactly what us whites were trying to tell you all along (referendum: 80%+ whites pro-liberation, remember?) but you were too deafened by all the BLACK POWER promises and your own chanting and toyi-toying to even consider the one and only solution: "Let's walk the road together!" All South Africans together!

12 years into the "Promised Land" and I find a letter like yours (a black SA citizen!), posted on the Internet for the world to see, shamelessly and lamely blaming the same dead propaganda horse, apartheid and whites, as the "confused majority's" justified reason for increased crime activities! How embarrassing to all black people this must be!

Black South Africans, just in case you didn't know, are socially and economically, the most privileged blacks in all of Africa with the highest per capita income (even during your "apartheid") and the most opportunities (by law affirmatively privileged above whites) for education, sport and financial success. **70%** of the votes were for the ruling party whose top priority should be to create safety and security for its citizens, ...but you blame apartheid for your shortfalls?

Wake up! The honeymoon is over! There are no free farms and there will never be, no matter who rules! I'm white and never had a farm (I Wish!)? Neither is there a free lunch! Farmers desert SA farm workers to take on Zimbabwean refugees, why?, because they are prepared to WORK! No strikes!

And lastly, no matter how hard you try to create guilt in us for being white, I know that your future, my friend, would have been a certainty if only you've opened your eyes in time. At the end of this year, because of CRIME, our family of six white South Africans, two engineers, a lawyer, an actress, an A-level matriculant and a baby without a future here will be leaving this country for good - thanks to scary articles like yours. And the brain - drain continues... (won't be surprised if this doesn't make your forum!)

[Reply to this message](#)

- > South Africa (Azania): In a state of crime or a surge in resistance?

19th August 2006, posted by [Ntokozo Mthembu](#)

First and for most thank you Mr/Ms Scary for your response and further showing your guts and spirit of believing in your same old white lies of thinking that when you generation of white settlers leave Africa will suffer. I want to remind you that the days of spreading your colonialist theories are fading slowly and surely and if you are not willing to see and hear the winds of change sweeping in front of you. If that is the case I'm concern my friend your future as the white race is bleek, so wake up and leave. It will be sad if you don't see that the majority of Africans no longer have trust and believe in your puppets who were tricked by yourselves to think that the liberation of Black world will be negotiated-CODESA by the Anglo-Americans and the likes- business.

Do you remember the broad day light robbery, the so called primitive accumulation by your homeland countries- Europe from 1600 onward and the brutally exterminating of the Africans as they resisting that stealing process? Do you remember the partitioning of Africa in Berlin in the 1800s and do you remember then legislated era and now under apartheid and who benefited? You benefited by virtue of being white irrespective of the tribe-(i.e. English or French or whatever for that matter) you come from. According to your false white supremacy and "civilisation" your grandfathers purported to be bringing to Africa of taking some bodies property illegally, forcing your values and culture to other races and what did they say to you? They did not tell you that they were committing crime? If they did not that is where they were wrong, so it is better that now you need to start making up your mind. Whether to live the rest of your life with this fallacy and illusions of white supremacy and clinging to stolen property and this thought of believing that you are indispensable.

For the sake of reminder don't ever think that all Blacks are drunk by your brain-washing of democratic euphoria like your elites whose minds have been tortured, in the institutions of learning, prisons and with your liberalism - the so called love of the blacks. If arrogant whites like you don't learn from the Zimbabwe experience, you are in serious trouble, so I'm begging you your time is going and I think those whities who have realised they cannot take it, they have started votrekking back to Europe whilst the coast and the oceans are still calm. Because the sober minded Africans will never the accept that junk writing you just wrote. So, Azania, Zimbabwe and the white world will be liberated from all forms of oppression - mental, physical and otherwise beyond your western brain-washing.

[Reply to this message](#)

- > South Africa (Azania): In a state of crime or a surge in resistance?

21st August 2006, posted by [Scared](#)

Thank you for responding. Maybe this extract will explain the situation better:

"Tonight on eTV I saw an interesting news item. A habitual criminal in the USA was caught for the 226th time. They showed his face and hey presto he was a black man.

Why the violence and why the crime? Why do these criminal swines have to resort to extreme and prolonged violence in the perpetration of what is usually a petty crime like the theft of a cell phone and a few household belongings - nothing of which could ever be worth a human life.

The answers are clear. This is a country that after the release of Nelson Mandela and the build up to "democratic" elections was birthed with electioneering slogans and chants that actively and unequivocally called for the slaughter of white South Africans.

How else is one to understand the meaning of "ONE SETTLER ONE BULLET" and "KILL THE BOER - KILL THE FARMER"? These chants were the platform upon which was engineered the ANC rise to power in SA and formed part of their campaign to rally support - word of mouth undertaking throughout the entire population of South Africa that whites were to be slaughtered after the "Liberation" of South Africa on a piecemeal protracted basis in the exact manner that we see taking place in South Africa at this precise moment.

We do not exactly have the most sophisticated electorate on the planet - which African country does - and the question has to be asked, how would an unsophisticated electorate respond to the continuous brainwashing that white people have robbed him of everything he ever had or could have had and that white people are the cause of all ills that he, his ancestors and his descendants have, are, or are ever going to experience.

Indoctrinating the population in SA in this manner has been the most infantile accomplishment of the current powers that be with the most incredible outcome. The rest of the world, absolutely incapable of fathoming the rudimentary workings of the indigenous African mind are at a loss and white South Africans at as much of a loss as to understanding why the violence.

After some thoughtful consideration, the answer becomes easily apparent. We are dealing with a race that for the entire history of its existence has not developed or evolved in the same way as most of the world's other races have. They have no written history prior to this being recorded by white people a few hundred years ago and this fact in itself challenges the exclusivity that South African blacks claim to this country at the exclusion of everybody else, particularly whites.

We are dealing with a race that is infinitely fragmented into tribal and ethnic divisions, sub divisions, languages, dialects and others defining separatist mechanisms that makes

defining them as simply as "Black People" outrageously simplistic and myopic if any effort is to be made to understand the absolute inability of these people to contribute anything constructive or positive to humanity.

Nevertheless, in keeping with simply defining these murderers as "Black" we need to understand that as such we are dealing with a race of people that for whatever history is apparent of their existence have shown us that they have lived in as close proximity to their other splinter tribes and ethnic kin as geography, hostilities and speed of travel provided for.

There was no economic activity other than that if one tribe or group required anything that they could not grow or make, they simply went over the plains to a neighboring tribe, wiped them out, usually very cruelly; took their belongings and livestock and burned down their dwellings before disappearing.

WHAT HAS CHANGED ??? It is this same culture of entitlement and absolute lack of any economic evolution by indigenous black Africans that still manifest today as violent crime in modern societies all over the planet. It is a congenital peculiarity of blacks that no amount of integration into western societies will eradicate, maybe refine a little to give the illusion of similar levels of sophistication as western societies but never eradicate, as is amply evidenced by people like Mugabe who wears a suit, is by Western standards eminently civilized and educated with SEVEN university degrees - look what he did to Zimbabwe for all his western style sophistication and education - exactly what his ancestors have done throughout their history. He has plundered, murdered and pillaged to acquire what he wanted because he simply knows no other way despite his westernization.

That is all these people know and this is all they will ever do. It is the reason that they were colonized in the first place and the reason they will be colonized again - for certain. Black Africa will be colonized again and this time, having been exposed as a murderous liability no matter where they put down their roots, their new colonizers are not going to be the benevolent kindly BOERS that they are killing daily. This time their colonizers will be a race of people that can currently put 200 million armed soldiers into the field at any time and THEIR approach to violent crime is simple - a bullet to the back of the head and the bill therefore to the criminals kin.

In the meantime white South African are being slaughtered like pigs in an orgy of retribution and by way of penance for Apartheid - a system that was implemented precisely to preserve white people from the attentions of these savages that we see today - but has been twisted by the international bleeding hearts society to be perceived as a

diabolical mechanism for persecuting blacks. Opponents of apartheid by way of their voluble opposition, sanctions etc, etc. have shown that what they understood of Apartheid was that white South Africans could only function if some perverse desire to see blacks squirming dying and being humiliated on a daily basis was assuaged sufficiently for them to be able to build the South Africa that the ANC inherited, took credit for and then proceeded to destroy.

Never has the thought been given by these bleeding hearts to the possibility that the implementation of Apartheid was an act of survival by white South Africans to isolate them from the attentions of blacks that we see currently in a form and on a scale that by any definition can be labeled a Genocide of whites in SA.

A genocide that has been cunningly planned all along by the ANC and equally as cunningly executed. A genocide that is justified by apartheid and the victims thereof acceptable losses for redressing of "Past injustices". A genocide that is explained away as crime. A genocide that the government fully approves of, fully encourages, fully supports and fully endorses by its absolute refusal to do anything about violent crime against whites in South Africa.

It is a genocide the agenda of which is clearly apparent to any thinking person without the odd loose cannon black giving it away at a "youth rally" at which the call was made to black youths to "Go and steal from whites because they stole from us..."

This is the kind of indoctrination that generations have black youths have been subjected to to such an extent that they see little merit in applying any personal effort or endeavour into acquiring anything that their "leaders" are telling the they can simply take from white people and if it involves killing the white pig all the better - remember "KILL THE BOER KILL THE FARMER"

They don't have to go to "Youth Rallies" to be told this, it's all they hear all the time because it is an ongoing part of the indoctrination strategy that has been inherited from the communists and implemented with absolute results.

What the idiots haven't figured out yet is what they are going to do when all the whites have been slaughtered or driven out of South Africa and every last possession of white people has been plundered and destroyed. The answer is equally as clear - they turn on themselves and before long the beautiful country that whites built is reduced to rubble and is just another African civil war zone - until the neo-colonizers move in.

In the meantime law abiding, unarmed, productive white South Africans are slaughtered without the immediate realization that for every white life gratuitously snuffed out

hundreds of blacks will ultimately end up starving and dying in the years to follow.

And when things in the "Liberated" country get unbearable because the economy has collapsed the "Free" blacks invade the very countries from where their "Oppressors" originated and go and perpetuate their culture of entitlement there.

So to all those bleeding hearts countries gleefully clapping their hands and laughing "Serves you right for Apartheid" (isolating yourself from black on white hate crimes); take note of who the perpetrators are in your cozy countries of unrest, riots, civil disobedience, murder, rape and robbery. I'll put my money on it that it's usually a black African....."

[Reply to this message](#)

- > South Africa (Azania): In a state of crime or a surge in resistance?

25th August 2006, posted by [Ntokozo Mthembu](#)

Thank you once again Mr/Ms Scare by virtue of being scared that shows that you know exactly the truth. Without waisting my precious time, I just wanted to tell you that Africans have a documented history and wake up from your false slumber of your western lies. No matter you can jump or not Africa will be liberated in and out.

We still urge arrogant whites like you to be realistic not believing in your own lies.

Africa is for the Africans at and in the diaspora, so as to the Europe that is divided into tribes such as English, Franks and so forth in short we call them whites or Europeans.

[Reply to this message](#)

- > South Africa (Azania): In a state of crime or a surge in resistance?

28th August 2006, posted by [Still Scared](#)

Sorry to waste your precious time but thank you in any case for your rather staggering reply! Scared I am indeed of your criminal and violent "surge in resistance" but you should be more terrified of your own "winds of change...!"

A recent study titled: "A Nation In The Making: A discussion document on macrosocial trends in South Africa" (P34.

Sunday Times August 27, 2006) amongst 29 773 126 citizens, found that "...it is significant that NO ONE (out of nearly 30 million!!) described themselves as AZANIAN...!!"

OOPS!!! You must be totally devastated at this one or are you once more reaching for your usual "blank" comment, when cornered, of conveniently calling it all "lies"?! The realisation of finding oneself the only remaining rebel loyal to purposely driving White people out of Africa at all cost, purely because of their skin colour, perceiving everything about them as "lies", must surely be a terribly lonely and humiliating experience! Especially if you have to live with and daily witness and experience all evidence around you of the immaculate achievements and successes of White people, even making use of their technology to write your own pathetic racist propaganda on! Eish!!

WAKE UP and get real: You are no Robin Hood or freedom fighter!! (we've got enough in "parlement" as it is). It is the Western (Whitie) Way combined with the hard work of all citizens that can save this land (and your ass...!) and it is the ONLY realistic way (you can see for yourself how the Black government fails to deliver and is rotten to the core with greed and corruption, right?). Your racist fantasy of a "liberated Africa the African Black way" will undoubtedly cast us back a couple of millenia calculated by our famous all-to-easy-to-find African examples like "circus-class-amusement-act-minus-three-zero's"- Zimbabwean dictatorship and "comical-after-election-trigger-happy-democratic" (ha-ha!) Congo!!.

I was borne in South Africa and so were all my ancestors as far back as I care to remember and we happen to be all Whites. Not you or any earthling can deny, cancel or nullify this fact. I cannot return to my mother's womb to make myself non-existent, nor am I going to commit suicide in order to please you and neither are many, many millions of other White people going to. We cannot be held responsible or apologise for the actions of our ancestors who then structured laws according to their circumstances and SAFETY FIRST...!!! We also do not "believe" in our dead forefathers like you do but in a Living God in Heaven.

Your attitude of promoting crime in order to "liberate" the land (...again??!!) and views are socially, mentally and politically counter-productive to a progressive South Africa and is based purely on irreversable history and blatant racial hate! Once again, WAKE UP!!! We are all here to make this work! You are blocking progress by demoralising everyone by fighting the past over and over again (...the Bushmen were here long before you, but you Blacks savagedly drove them into the Kalahari! Are you going to give them back their land? NO YOU WON"T!!). Stop feeling sorry for yourself and GET OFF YOUR ASS, like us Whities and rather use your "precious" time to work towards creating new jobs and a future for the poor, all races, rather than just demanding and grabbing for free whatever it is the White man has that makes you so utterly jealous of him!

Let me let you in (a little...!) on what us Whities are chatting about behind our high walls, around our braais while the boerewors are sizzling! We cannot thank your affirmative action (intended and particularly introduced to penalise and hurt us for our grandparent's "apartheid") enough for forcing us out of our comfort zones (where you are now...) to once again become self-sufficient, having to think for ourselves, invent new ideas and dictate our own income, being our own bosses and create great wealth for our children abroad! All along scheming on how to dodge the taxman as best we can as to limit the gravy on the gravy train! And still, even though you hate us, we are solely responsible for creating 85% of work oppurtunities for our Black brothers and sisters. No lies my friend and I pray that you can find love and peace in your very bitter hart to except the White man because he is here to stay. I don't think you have it in you to forgive the same way I do not apologise for something I had no control over long before my birth!

[Reply to this message](#)

- > South Africa (Azania): In a state of crime or a surge in resistance?

31st August 2006

Ntokozo Mthembu

What a piece of work you are!

I left South African in 1988 and have not been back since. I am sure that I will never go back after reading what you are spouting.

You my friend are the racist. And don't for one second think that just because you are black that it is impossible for you not to be racist. You have an evil heart which is just as evil as some of the worst white racists that I met while doing my military service in 1980-81.

I now live in Canada where we have many beautiful black African souls in our church who are NOTHING like you. They would be ashamed to share the same colour of skin that you have. I consider them to truly be my brothers and sisters.

And you my friend should change your values before you destroy the future of your children and your children's children. If you keep this up, they will eventually want to move to Canada to escape the devastation that your kind of thinking will bring about. I pray to God Almighty that your thinking is in the extreme minority.

You reap what you sow and you are sowing the seeds of your destruction. What a TRAGIC waste!

Truly, Cry the Beloved Country. Even Alan Patons wife left SA because the crime got so bad.

PS. Don't bother coming to Canada with an attitude like yours. You are persona non grata!

What a miserable wretch you are.

[Reply to this message](#)

- > South Africa (Azania): In a state of crime or a surge in resistance?

28th July 2006, posted by [White African](#)

I as a white person must agree with the writer of the article. The country is still in the hands of the Anglo Americans(AA). I was hoping that the way that Africans do politics would be sufficient to get our country back. This is unfortunately not happening as the AA are experts in exploiting peoples weaknesses like for example GREED. Is crime the way out? I think not. EDUCATION is the only way to beat the AA as people need to know and understand enough of politics and strategies to "see" the truth. Can the AA be beaten in any conventional way. NO! Many upstanding people have

tried only to die or be publicly humiliated for their efforts The only way to get our country back is through educating our people. They need to be able to see the truth before it will be of any consequence in telling them what really is happening. Only then will they be able to stand firm, make sacrifices and bring about the changes that is needed to ensure that the wealth of South Africa stays in South Africa in a way that will not allow the AA to vilify the people of our country.

>>>>>*Fire burn Babylon!!!!!!*